Development Brief,

Housing at Land Off Ffordd Eldon, Sychdyn

Adopted as Supplementary Planning Guidance 17th July 2012





In line with the advice of the Welsh Government (WG) the brief has been the subject of a Council resolution and was formally adopted as Supplementary Planning Guidance on 17th July 2012. The document has been subject to a 6 week public consultation process over a period between October 24th to 5th December 2011.

The representations received and how the brief has been amended to take account of the issues raised has been summarised in the Comments and Responses document which can be found in Appendix 4.

This document should therefore be afforded considerable weight as a material planning consideration.

Developers must have regard to this development brief when preparing a scheme for this site. Any differences must be justified by the developer and agreed with the Planning Authority.

Contents

| 1 Purpose of the Brief | 2 |
|--|----|
| 2 Site Description | 2 |
| 3 Status of the Brief | 2 |
| 4 Planning Context and History | 6 |
| 5 Relevant Development Plan Policies and Guidance. | 7 |
| 6 Site analysis and Planning Requirements | 8 |
| 6.1 Location and Landscape Character | 9 |
| 6.2 Local Context Layout and Design | 9 |
| 6.3 Density | 9 |
| 6.4 Materials | 9 |
| 6.5 Sustainable Development. | 10 |
| 6.6 Sense of Place | 11 |
| 6.7 Design and Access Statement | 11 |
| 6.8 Highways access | 11 |
| 6.9 Parking | 12 |
| 6.10 Services Infrastructure | 12 |
| 6.11 Affordable housing requirement | 12 |
| 6.12 Archaeological issues | 13 |
| 6.13 Public Open Space Requirements | 13 |
| 6.14 Biodiversity | 13 |
| 6.15 Education contributions | 14 |
| 6.16 Contaminated Land Issues. | 14 |
| 7 Planning Requirements | 15 |
| 8 Further information | 15 |
| Appendices | 16 |

1 | Purpose of the Brief

The objective of the brief is to bring about a high quality development by providing clear advice to potential applicants on the Councils requirements and expectations in relation to this site at Ffordd Eldon, Sychdyn. The site has been known as the Former Sewage Works Site throughout the UDP process and is known as such in the adopted UDP but it is now felt that the name is inappropriate since the remains of the former use has blended into the natural landscape. The brief will identify the potential opportunities and constraints in and around the site and will suggest solutions in order to facilitate an appropriate sustainable development.

The brief aims to promote development of the site with a mix of market value and affordable housing to identify the most appropriate form of development.

2 | Site Description

The site is 1.9 ha in size and is located on the northern edge of the settlement of Sychdyn. The land is generally flat and is in agricultural use. Little remains of the former use as a sewage works, merely a dilapidated chain link fence heavily overgrown with brambles and a line of tall conifer trees.

The site is surrounded to the north, east and west by mature hedgerows with open fields beyond. A small water course runs along the northern boundary. A public right of way runs along the southern edge of the site which forms part of the Wats Dyke Way Heritage Trail. The southern edge of the site is bounded by bungalows and older cottages and Lilac Cottage is included within the site. Vehicular access to the site is presently gained from Ffordd Eldon between No 6 Ffordd Eldon and Fairfield.

3 | Status of the Brief

The Council has written this brief and consulted widely with relevant internal departments such as Highways, Pollution control, Biodiversity, the Play Unit and Education Departments. External organisations such as Clwyd Powys Archaeological Trust (CPAT), Countryside Council for Wales (CCW) and Welsh Water have also been consulted.

In line with the advice of the Welsh Government (WG) the brief has been the subject of a Council resolution and public consultation and should therefore be afforded considerable weight as a material planning consideration. The representations received and how the brief has been amended to take account of the issues raised has been summarised in the Comments and Responses document which can be found in Appendix 4.



Map 1 Extent of the planning brief area



Aerial view



View along the southern boundary footpath looking to the south along the line of Wats Dyke.



View of the site from the southern boundary footpath looking north.

D)

C)



View of the site from the southern boundary footpath looking north/west.



View from the southern boundary footpath across the site looking south/east.

E)

F)



View from footpath looking south/west to No 23 Ffordd Celyn.

4 | Planning Context and History

G)

Sychdyn is a category B settlement in the Unitary Development Plan (UDP) which means an indicative growth level of 8 -15% over the Plan period (2000 to 2015). Following the UDP inquiry the inspector recommended the retention of this site as an allocation in the Plan and felt that a growth level of 11%, since the base date of the Plan (2000), was acceptable. Sychdyn is considered to be a sustainable location for new development as it is close to areas of employment has good public transport links and a good range of local facilities.

This development brief has been produced in support of the housing allocation in the UDP and it will be adopted alongside the plan as Supplementary Planning Guidance. It was felt that the sensitive nature of the site due to the locally important Wats Dyke archaeological remains and the additional restrictions on the site resulting in a requirement to build at an appropriate density, meant that a development brief was advisable.

In terms of the planning history, there have been no planning applications on the site. Although the site did include a sewage works at the north/west corner of the field this has become overgrown and all that remains of the works is a chain link fence and an overgrown conifer hedge. Considering the previous use of the land, contaminated land surveys will be required as part of any planning application.

Ownership – it is understood that the majority of the site is within one ownership excluding the curtilage of Lilac Cottage and the former sewage compound which is still Dwr Cymru Welsh Water

land. It is also understood there is provision to utilise part of the front garden of No 6 Ffordd Eldon to facilitate access to the site.

Formal Designations. There are no formal wildlife designations on the site, however, Wats Dyke which is an important site of local archaeological interest, runs along the southern boundary of the site. Whilst not formally designated a Scheduled Ancient Monument (SAM) the line of the Dyke will need to be protected and this issue is fully addressed in para 6.12 of this brief.

5 | Relevant Development Plan Policies and Guidance.

Regard will be given to national guidance in the form of Planning Policy Wales and Technical Advice Notes (TANs). The relevant development plan is the Flintshire Unitary Development Plan (UDP) which was adopted on 28th September 2011. Other local guidance is in the form of Local Planning Guidance Notes, these are being reviewed with the view of adopting them as Supplementary Planning Guidance in the near future. UDP policies can be found in Appendix 1 and a List of Local Planning Guidance notes can be found in Appendix 2.

6 | Site analysis and Planning Requirements

(See Site Context and Analysis diagram)



6.1 | Location and Landscape Character

The site sits within a mosaic of small fields on the northern edge of the settlement. A carefully designed landscaping scheme for the site will be required to ensure protection of the hedgerows along the northern boundaries as indicated on the Site Context and Analysis Plan and the consolidation of the hedgerow along the eastern boundary. The requirements of policy D3 Landscaping should be complied with. Details of the landscaping should encourage biodiversity, safeguard the line of the Wats Dyke and offer appropriate links through the development from the existing settlement to the footpaths and the surrounding countryside.

6.2 | Local Context Layout and Design

The development should aim to create a scheme which is outward looking rather than inwardly focused so that it is visually, functionally and physically integrated into the existing built form of the village. In terms of massing, scale and character the layout should reflect the surrounding area which are a mix of single and two storey dwellings each with their own rear garden and many with their own parking space. Sychdyn has a good mixture of house types, there is a variety of large and small linked and detached bungalows and two storey houses.

Bungalows primarily surround the site and it may therefore be appropriate to use this house type at the southern portion of the site where the site interfaces with the existing village. These could also comprise the affordable element of the scheme, as detailed later. There should be a reasonable mix of housing types and sizes to cater for a range of housing needs.

The Site Context and Analysis Plan show the constraints which will inform the development of the site. Building cannot take place within the 25m archaeological buffer and within 3m of the drainage system on the site. A central location for the play area could create a focal point for the layout of the development. The Design and Access Statement/ Planning Statement will be required to undertake a robust contextual analysis of the site, its surroundings and the constraints and demonstrate the processes and options considered in devising a development proposal.

6.3 | Density

The final number of houses is obviously affected by the constraints of the site as shown on the site analysis plan and the requirements for affordable housing and open space. The net developable area when taking the archaeological, drainage, hedgerow safeguarding and provision for public open space into account is approx 1.3 ha. Using this as a guide, a low density development of 25 dwellings per hectare (dph) would produce 33 dwellings and an average density of 30 dph would produce 39 dwellings. The Council do not consider it appropriate to exceed these levels given the circumstances of this site.

6.4 | Materials

To ensure that the new development does not detract from the local environment, traditional local building materials should be used to reflect the existing character of Sychdyn. In terms of the local materials found in the village, the older houses are usually red brick with slate roofs with some stone buildings with slate roofs. More modern dwellings are again brick or render with a mix of slate and grey tile roofs.

Simple details on buildings can make a big difference to their appearance and the way a development

fits in with the surroundings. Simple brick detailing can add to the character of a dwelling by reflecting the traditional character of the area but fussy or complicated detailing should be avoided. Photographs (in Appendix 3) of houses in the village show these materials and features such as pitched roof porches and garages. The detailing around doors and windows are an important design feature, for example stone lintels and window sills are often seen on older properties in Sychdyn. Strong perimeter treatment such as walls, fences and gates can also be useful to enhance the identity of housing and help to define the private/ public realm. The use of energy efficient, low maintenance and sustainable materials will be encouraged whenever practicable.

6.5 | Sustainable Development.

Development of the site's layout should reflect the principles of sustainable development and incorporate the appropriate landscaping. All developments should seek to conserve natural resources, be energy efficient and minimise pollution. As a minimum the dwellings will be required to meet the requirements of Level 3 of the Code for Sustainable Homes as contained within current national guidance. However developers should aim for a higher level and note that the Welsh Government intends to require higher levels in future and it may be that by the time an application is submitted, attaining Code Level 4 or higher may be a requirement.

In respect of sustainable development, the following should be considered: climate, energy, resources, biodiversity and community. A sustainability statement will be required as part of the Design and Access statement setting out how the principles of sustainable development have been incorporated from the outset.

Solar considerations

The majority of the site generally occupies a south facing aspect and can therefore take advantage of available solar radiation in the proposed buildings. The buildings should therefore be designed to take advantage of available solar radiation to maximise heating gain. Passive solar heating is an important consideration in the layout of any new housing development in order to take advantage of available sunlight. The result is warm sunny houses and a reduction in energy use and therefore fuel bills which will make the homes more attractive to house buyers. On this site in order to take advantage of solar radiation single story dwelling should be located to the south of the site to avoid overshadowing. Houses should as much a possible be located along roads which follow an east to west direction to take advantage of the 'sun path' (as shown on the site analysis diagram). Also any higher density housing should be located around the open space provision to allow enough sunlight to the dwellings.

Energy

The initial consideration should be the reduction of energy use, followed by how renewable energy sources can be used to provide the energy required. As much energy use as possible should be derived from renewable sources and the use of some form of community heating or a combined heat and power scheme (CHP) should not be ruled out.

Developers will be expected to look in detail at the most suitable way of incorporating renewable energy on this site, be aware that the suitability of different renewable energy technologies may vary across the site, and that more than one form of renewable energy provision may be appropriate. The Council requires through Policy EWP 3 Renewable Energy in New Development that predicted carbon emissions be reduced by 10% through renewable energy measures on major new developments.

6.6 | Sense of Place

Achieving a 'sense of place' is important in terms of reflecting the best of vernacular layout patterns in the area rather than a continuation of standard housing developments. All new developments are expected to comply with GEN1 General Requirements for Development, D1 Design Quality, Location and Layout and D2 Design. Also, new developments should also take into account all new guidance published by the Welsh Government which relates to residential streets, housing, design, as well as designing for security and safer more inclusive environments.

6.7 | Design and Access Statement

A design and access statement will be required to accompany the development. It must clearly demonstrate how the development has taken account of this development brief and should explain how the design has been created specifically for this development. The statement should demonstrate how the overall design reflects the best of the urban form of the settlement. The Advice in TAN 12 Design should be closely followed.

6.8 | Highways access

The Head of Assets and Transportation has commented that traffic generation associated with this size of development is unlikely to have any significant impact upon the local highway network. Nevertheless, a Transport Statement should be part of any planning application so that any impacts can be demonstrated. An audit of the opportunities to walk, cycle, and use local bus services should be considered within the transport statement. The internal layout of the site should also encourage walking and cycling following the guidance within TAN 12 and the Manual for Streets.

A public footpath (Footpath No 33 which forms part of the Wats Dyke Way Heritage Trail) runs along the south west boundary of the site and it will be necessary to protect this footpath within any development. The footpath should remain as a safe and attractive link to the open countryside.

An acceptable site access from Ffordd Eldon was established as part of the Inspectors recommendations. This access arrangement has required the acquisition of 3rd party land including part of the garden of No 6, Ffordd Eldon.

An alternative access from the unadopted part of Fford Eldon could also be considered from the position currently occupied by Lilac Cottage. This is within the site boundary and given it also fronts directly onto Ffordd Eldon, could provide a potential option to create an access although this would again require the acquisition of 3rd party land.

The creation of a point of access into the site via Ffordd Celyn has been considered and rejected as there are implications regarding the archaeological remains of Wats Dyke. The fact that the line of Wats Dyke has already been breached by the construction of Lilac Cottage and Fairfield has led CPAT to favour an access points from Ffordd Eldon. An access point from Ffordd Celyn would create a new breach of the dyke remains and is therefore unacceptable. Every effort should be made to make the site as accessible as possible to a wide range of potential users, including those with sensory or physical disabilities.

6.9 | Parking

Guidance on the residential parking requirements is given within Flintshire County Council Local Planning Guidance Note 11. Although the site has good public transport links and is within walking and cycling distance of local facilities, provision of car parking will still need to be accommodated. The layout should provide appropriate levels of parking within the curtilage of dwellings in line with the Council's adopted car parking standards, which as follows are a maximum of:

| Size of house | Number of parking spaces |
|--|--|
| 1 Bedroom house | 1.5 car spaces per unit |
| 2 Bedroom house | 2 car spaces per unit |
| 3 Bedroom house | 2 car spaces per unit |
| >3 Bedroom house | 3 car spaces per unit |
| Flats | 1 car spaces per unit + 1 car space per 2 units for visitors |
| Elderly person/retirement dwellings or flats | 1 car space per unit + 1 car space per 3 units for visitors |

In line with policy AC18 of the UDP reduced requirements may be applied in some circumstances.

6.10 | Services Infrastructure

Welsh Water has stated that the site can be connected to an existing sewer system and they also encourage the use of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SUDs) for surface water run off. It is also relevant to note that no building will be permitted within 3 m of the public assets or sewers which are within the development area, the location of these are shown on the Site Context and Analysis Plan.

In terms of sewerage treatment the proposed development would overload the existing Waste Water Treatment Works however improvements are planned for completion by 31st March 2014. A water supply can be made available from Wats Dyke Way.

6.11 | Affordable housing requirement

The need of a community for affordable housing is a material planning consideration which should be properly taken into account when preparing planning applications. In the Flintshire UDP Policy HSG 10 requires that all developments of 25 dwelling and over or sites of 1hectare or more should provide 30% affordable housing. Affordable housing should be located on site and be scattered throughout the development, rather than in a defined grouping. Where ever possible the affordable provision should reflect the principle type of need in the area. Any propsals which divide the allocated site into smaller chunks, thereby falling below the specified thresholds, will be required to provide affordable housing on a pro-rata basis.

Within the community there is a perceived need for 2 bed bungalows in order for local persons to downsize. The Council's Housing Strategy Officer has identified a number of options to deliver affordable housing on the site but this will depend on the total numbers of houses comprising any

future planning application and an up to date assessment of housing need in the community at that time. The options briefly could include:

i) the developer providing a certain number of 2 bed bungalows (provided that this need is evidenced at the time of the planning application), to be sold at market value to people who wish to downsize (this figure is unlikely to amount to 30% though as bungalows up more land and are generally more expensive to build). There would be a land charge in place to ensure that if a property is then sold on for more than the initial sale price, then 30% of the uplift capital is paid to the Council,

ii) the developer gifts a certain number of dwellings (bungalows provided that this need is evidenced at the time of the planning application) to FCC who retain ownership in order to house applicants on the affordable housing register and the social housing register,

iii) the full 30% provision of dwellings on a shared equity basis with FCC holding 30% capital.

6.12 | Archaeological issues

The Clwyd Powys Archaeological Trust (CPAT) recommend that a buffer of undeveloped land approximately 25m wide from the southern boundary of the site be provided to protect the subsurface remains of the Wat's Dyke and its former alignment. The Site Analysis Plan shows the extent of the proposed safeguarding corridor and CPAT suggest that the undeveloped area is left as open grassed or lawned areas with no hard or intrusive landscaping and that a full archeological survey be carried out on the previously developed part of that corridor. This is an important requirement for this site as it restricts where development can take place and provides a buffer to the existing houses.

In terms of the possible access route between Fairfield and Lilac Cottage CPAT also suggest that a full archaeological survey be carried out as this route crosses directly over the remains of the Dyke. The Wats Dyke in the vicinity of the site may not be a scheduled ancient monument but it is locally important and any development must take this into consideration.

CPAT also suggest that there may be scope for providing an interpretation panel on the site showing a reconstruction of the dyke, an explanation of its origins and its use in the wider landscape and this is something that the Council will seek to negotiate with the developer.

6.13 | Public Open Space Requirements

The Head of Leisure Services will be seeking in accordance with Local Planning Guidance Note 13 Open Space Provision, on site recreation provision for this development in the region of 2,200 sqm. This will be to provide a fixed play area. It is estimated that the costs for this scheme will be £50,000 and would require the facility to be provided upon 50% sale or occupation of the development. The requirements as set out in this document depend on the number of dwellings proposed these figures are based on there being 39 units.

6.14 | Biodiversity

The Countryside Council for Wales(CCW) advise that the site is not within the boundaries of any statutory sites of ecological, geological or geomorphological interest, or within a designated landscape area. Nevertheless there are features on the site which are of biodiversity value such

as the hedgerows and also the potential for the site to provide a habitat for protected species. The authorities own biodiversity officer and CCW would expect to be consulted prior to any application being submitted in order to advise regarding safeguarding the protected species interest of the site, including habitats and what ecological surveys may be needed, for example; bats, badgers, newts and reptiles. It is therefore strongly recommended that any prospective developer has early pre application discussions with the council's biodiversity officer and CCW at an early stage in the development process to ensure the protection of the hedgerows, species and any future management of the site.

As part of the development, open space and landscaping will be required. This will provide further opportunities for an increased variety of flora and fauna, which will enhance the biodiversity of the site. The planting of species in scale with the development is an important consideration and generally plant species should be native. All main structure planting strips should include a proportion of evergreen planting to maintain shelter and colour throughout the year.

6.15 | Education contributions

The Director of Lifelong Learning has advised that the current capacity of Sychdyn CP School is 177 full time places with a surplus capacity of 42, which equates to 23.7% of the total capacity. The number of primary age children likely to be generated from this development would be 9 which would reduce the surplus capacity to 33 or 18.6%. The LEA needs to retain a level of flexibility with the surplus places of up to 5% clearly this development would not affect that level at this time so it is unlikely that contributions will be requested for primary school provision.

In terms of Secondary age children the development is likely to generate 7 children this would most likely impact on the Argoed and Alun High Schools. Argoed High School is already at over capacity and the Alun school has 3.33% surplus places, both schools would be unable to accommodate the increase in pupils without the need for additional accommodation. Therefore if a planning application were submitted for this level of development the authority would be requesting Section106 funding for the nearest Secondary schools. Developers should contact the Director of Lifelong Learning at the time of making a planning application in order to ascertain the most up to date figures and how much would be required in terms of the commuted sum.

6.16 | Contaminated Land Issues.

The Head of Public Protection has advised that a desk top study and intrusive site investigation will be required to identify any unacceptable risks to the development as a result of land contamination. This should be carried out prior to the determination of a planning application.

7 | Planning Requirements

Any planning application should be accompanied by the following information:-

- 1. A Planning Statement including an assessment of the proposal in the light of this brief.
- 2. Design and Access Statement including a Sustainability Statement.
- 3. An archaeological assessment.
- 4. 106 Obligations Education Contributions

- 5. Access for All statement.
- 6. Transport Statement.
- 7. Ecological Surveys.
- 8. Contaminated Land Investigations.
- 9. Code for Sustainable Homes Pre-Assessment

8 | Further information

Developers are encouraged to contact the Flintshire County Council's Planning Service to discuss details of any proposed scheme as early as possible prior to the design of a scheme and submission of an application. Any application will be dealt with primarily by the Development Management Team, but policy guidance can be obtained from the Planning Strategy Team, who will also be consulted on the application and pre-application enquiry.

Planning Development Management Team, Mr Glyn P. Jones, Tel 01352 703248 Planning Strategy Manager Mr Andy Roberts. Tel 01352 703211 Environment Directorate, Flintshire County Council, County Hall Mold CH7 6NF. Highways - Sue Thomas x 4617 Play Unit - Alan Roberts x 2457 Ecologist - Amanda Davies x 3268 Housing Strategy - Housing Estates - Penny Storr x 3830 Public Protection - Dave Jones x 3276

Env Agency - ruth.pritchard@environment-agency.gov.uk Dwr Cymru - developer.services@dwrcymru.com CCW - tga@ccw.gov.uk

Appendices

Appendix 1

Adopted Flintshire Unitary Development Plan.

Policy STR1 - New Development. Policy STR2 - Transport & Communications. Policy STR4 - Housing. Policy STR7 - Natural Environment. Policy GEN1 - General Requirements for Development. Policy GEN2 - Development Inside Settlement Boundaries. Policy D1 – Design Quality, Location & Layout. Policy D2 - Design Policy D3 - Landscaping. Policy TWH2 - Protection of Hedgerows Policy HE7 - Other sites of Lesser Archaeological Significance. Policy AC13 - Access & Traffic Impact. Policy AC18 - Parking Provision & New Development. Policy HSG1 (53) - New Housing Development Proposals. Policy HSG8 - Density of Development. Policy HSG9 - Housing Mix & Type. Policy HSG10 - Affordable Housing Within Settlement boundaries Policy SR5 - Play Areas & New Development. Policy EWP2 – Energy Efficiency in New Development. Policy EWP3 - Renewable Energy in New Development Policy EWP14 - Derelict & Contaminated Land. Policy EWP 16 - Water Resources Policy AC2 - Pedestrian Provision & Public Rights of Way.

Appendix 2

Local Planning Guidance Notes

These are all available online at flintshire.gov.uk /planning

- 2. Space Around Dwellings (165Kb PDF)
- 3. Landscaping (136Kb PDF)
- 4. Trees and Development (306Kb PDF)
- 8. Nature Conservation and Development (65Kb PDF)
- 9. Affordable Housing (111Kb PDF)
- 11. Parking Standards (660Kb PDF)
- 12. Access for All (476Kb PDF)
- 13. Open Space Requirements (84Kb PDF)
- 19. Sustainable Drainage Systems (94Kb PDF)
- 22. Planning Obligations (61Kb PDF)
- 23. Developer Contributions to Education (Draft version)

Appendix 3 | Local Vernacular Assessment























Appendix 4

<u>Comments on the Housing Allocation Site, Land off Ffordd Eldon,</u> <u>Sychdyn, Development Brief</u>

| Organisation | Representation | Reasoned response | Decision and Action |
|---|--|--|--|
| Graham Hulbert Sychdyn Village Action Group | 1. Purpose of the Brief. The site is still being referred to as the "Former Sewage Works Site " when this is clearly inaccurate. The implication remains that the land is at least partly brownfield. As the Inspector pointed out, "The council refers to the site as part brownfield, but from my inspection I do not agree, it seems to me that the remains of the former use have blended into the landscape and they can reasonably be considered part of the natural surroundings" | Accepted | Change the name of the site to Land off Ffordd Eldon, Sychdyn. Change first sentence of para 1 to, "The objective of the brief is to bring about a high quality development by providing clear advice to potential applicants on the Councils requirements and expectations in relation to this site at Ffordd Eldon, Sychdyn. The site has previously been known as the Former sewage works site throughout the UDP process and is known as such in the adopted UDP but it is now felt that the name is inappropriate since the remains of the former use has blended into the natural landscape." |
| | 2.Site Description This is inaccurate in that the land is currently being used for crops and had also been used for animals over the past 12 months. | Accepted | Change the second sentence in para 2. Site Description to; "The land is flat and with the exception of the northern corner where the sewage works was located, is in agricultural use." |
| | 4. Planning history and Context In addressing this issue there appears to be no reference to the fact that Sychdyn is a village community with its own particular needs as a sustainable community in its own right. This description as its development brief implies a future as simply a dormitory settlement for the larger towns in this area of Flintshire. As SVAG found in their survey of 2008 this is totally unacceptable to the vast majority of residents. | Not accepted. Sychdyn is considered to be in planning terms a sustainable settlement because it has its own range of community facilities. Following the public inquiry on the UDP this stance was justified in the inspector's report where it was accepted that Sychdyn was correctly classified as a category B settlement. A housing allocation is therefore sustainable for the village as there is public transport and there are nearby facilities which reduce reliance on the car. | n/a |

| 6.1 Location and Landscape Character 3 rd sentence The requirement of the policy D3 Landscaping <u>should</u> (to be replaced with <u>must</u>) be complied with. | The purpose of the Development Brief is to provide clear advice to potential applicant on the requirements, expectations, opportunities and constraints in bringing the site forward for development. The use of the word 'should 'implies that there is a clear obligation or duty to comply with the requirements of policy D3. The brief has sought to provide clear guidance on the issue of landscaping without being too prescriptive. | n/a |
|---|---|---|
| 6.2 Local Context Layout and Design 1 st sentence, The development <u>should</u> (to be replaced with <u>must</u>) aim to create a scheme which is outward looking rather than inwardly focusedand again in 2 nd sentence In terms of massing, scale and character the layout <u>should</u> (to be replaced with <u>must</u>) reflect the surrounding area | As 6.1 above | n/a |
| 6.5. Sustainable development With reference to the minimum requirements that the homes should reach the Level 3 of the Code for Sustainable Homes although developers should aim for Code Level 4. Why can't this be made a requirement now! 6.6 Sense of place | Welsh Government guidance is at present requiring new development to meet the Code Level 3. The Local Authority does not have the power to enforce a higher level of energy efficiency and can only advise on what the Government requirements may be in the future. As 6.1 above. | |
| 3 rd sentence Also all new development should (to be replaced with <u>must</u>) take into account all new guidance 6.8 Highways Access 2 nd sentence Nevertheless a Transport Statement <u>should</u> (to be replaced with | As 6.1 above. | |
| must) be part of any planning applicationReferring to the first sentence of the 3 rd paragraph of 6.8. An acceptable accessfrom Ffordd Eldon was established duringearlier consultation on the allocation.When was this consultation and itsconclusions made public? | Consultation was carried out at deposit stage and as part of the UDP Public Inquiry, many residents put forward their views both verbally and as written representations over the full range of issues relating to the site. In considering the evidence from all parties, the | Change the first sentence of 3 rd paragraph in 6.8 to read." An acceptable site access from Ffordd Eldon was established as part of the Inspectors recommendations.' |

| | Ι | | |
|----|---|---|--|
| | | inspector concluded that an acceptable | |
| | | access could be safely accommodated on | |
| | | the roads. However the phraseology used | |
| | | may be slightly misleading and it is | |
| | | suggested that the sentence be redrafted. | |
| | .11 Affordable Housing requirement | The purpose of the Development Brief is | |
| | Vhile understanding the need for the | to provide clear advice to potential | |
| р | rovision of affordable housing there should | applicant on the requirements, | |
| b | e greater consideration for the welfare of | expectations, opportunities and | |
| th | ne existing residents. The inspector noted | constraints of the site. In considering a | |
| th | nat, "it is a function of the development | detailed development proposal for the site | |
| CC | ontrol process to ensure that living | the council will need to consider in a | |
| CC | onditions of present and future occupiers | rational and transparent manner a whole | |
| a | re not materially harmed by the | host of issues, guidance and policy | |
| de | evelopment ." There is no doubt that the | requirements. | |
| re | esident at Fairfield Cottage close to the | The provision of affordable housing on | |
| р | roposed entrance to the new development | the site and ensuring sufficient standards | |
| w | ould be dramatically and seriously | of amenity for both existing (and new) | |
| at | ffected in the way described. Furthermore | residents are valid planning | |
| a | precedent was set in the village with an | considerations and it would be | |
| ol | bjection to a planning application in Duke | inappropriate for the brief to attach | |
| S | street on the grounds that it would have | greater importance to either one. Local | |
| ha | ad an over bearing impact on adjacent | Planning Guidance Note No2 Space | |
| pi | roperties". | About Dwellings sets out the | |
| | | requirements for how far dwellings should | |
| | | be located from each other to allow for | |
| | | reasonable residential amenity. This | |
| | | guidance will be taken into account when | |
| | | the plans for the site are drawn up and | |
| | | will be assessed by officers as part of the | |
| | | considerations of a planning application. | |

| Why is it necessary to include a play area in shows the area of play space as required Ana | |
|---|--|
| | nalysis Plan to say 'Possible Central Open |
| | bace/ Play area' |
| already available within 200 metres of the and New Residential Development which | |
| site? is 2.4 hectares per 1000 population. The | |
| total area depends on the number of units | |
| proposed and is a requirement for all new | |
| developments. There is a play ground | |
| nearby but there is also a shortfall of play | |
| areas when considering the village as a | |
| whole. It may be that a smaller play area | |
| could be provided with a commuted sum | |
| to make up the rest of the contribution to be spent off-site. This will depend on | |
| negotiations with the Play Unit section of | |
| the Council at the planning | |
| application. The location of the play area | |
| on the map is indicative only, it could be | |
| located elsewhere, therefore it is | |
| proposed to amend the legend on the | |
| map to show this is a possible location for | |
| the open space. | |
| 6.14 Biodiversity. The brief clearly identifies the ecological n/a | a |
| The site does contain protected species, interest in the site and recognises the | |
| bats, adders, newts etc potential for protected species. In drawing | |
| 3 rd sentence of 1 st paragraph up development proposals it is good | |
| The authorities own biodiversity officer and practice for the developer to engage with | |
| CCW advise that would <u>expect (to be</u> the Councils Biodiversity officer and | |
| replaced with <u>must</u>) to be consulted CCW. However the l.p.a. cannot require it | |
| 4 th Sentence It is therefore <u>strongly</u> and an application could legitimately be | |
| recommended (to be replaced with submitted with supporting ecological | |
| necessary for) any prospective developer study report in the absence of any | |
| consultation or engagement. The l.p.a. | |
| would then consult both internally and | |
| externally on the application. 6.15 Education Contributions School rolls fluctuate for a variety of | |
| This need to be questioned, in 2007 -08 the reasons. The Ofsted report may have an | |
| Principle Education Officer for Flintshire influence, or a fall in the number of school | |
| indicated that Ysgol Sychdyn had a roll of age children in the village may be the | |
| 199 pupils and they predicted that a roll of reason for a fall on the numbers at the | |
| 198 for 2011-12. Clearly the figure of 177 school. Developer contributions can only | |
| indicates a change in the schools fortunes be required when a development is likely | |

| | that is almost certainly due to a poor Ofsted report and is therefore likely to be a temporary factor in the size of the school roll. Add to this the very conservative estimate for the number of additional pupils generated by the new development and very different picture emerges. | to increase the pupil numbers which will cause pressure on a school. This situation will be assessed again at the time of the planning application when the most up to date information will be considered. The brief merely seeks to provide guidance on the likely requirements to be met as part of the development. | |
|---|--|---|---|
| Huw Evans Planning on behalf of the landowner Mr D.Williams | 1.1 Purpose . A fully approved brief is a significant document providing 'clear advice' and guidance as to the form that future development on the site should take. However the Brief should not be too prescriptive at this stage but should provide guiding principles to be used in preparation of the conceptual design, form and layout of the development proposed. | Noted | n/a |
| | 2.1 Site Description A point of correction in that the land is cultivated and in agricultural use. | Accepted | Change the second sentence in para 2. Site Description to; "The land is flat and with the exception of the northern corner where the sewage works was located, is in agricultural use." |
| | 3.0 Status of brief 3.1 It is noted that there has been wide consultation internally within the Council and with statutory and non statutory consultees. This is the first opportunity for the major land owner to become involved and considerable weight should be attached to the landowner's comments. | The land owner has been aware of the allocation and the issues surrounding the site for many years and in fact took the opportunity to make comments in support of the allocation at the public inquiry in 2007. Weight will be attached to comments on their planning merits rather than who made them. | n/a |
| | 4.0 Planning context and history 4.1 The level of growth that this development would achieve is in the mid range of the 8% to 15% band width for a category B settlement, which means that the site has the potential to accommodate an increased density if required, particularly given its sustainable location. 4.2 Whilst acknowledging Wats Dyke as an archaeological feature it should be made clear that it does not have formal protected status as it is not a scheduled Ancient | Part Accepted. The fact that Sychdyn is likely to achieve a growth in the mid point of the 8-15% growth is not considered to have any direct bearing on achieving a higher density. The inspectors recommendations are quite clear as to a 30 dwellings per hectare target and that policy HSG8 provides the basis on which to determine the most appropriate density for a development having regard to the characteristics of the site and surrounding | Amend 2 nd sentence of para. 4.2 by adding the words 'locally important'.before 'Wats Dyke |

| Monument and very little physical and visual evidence remains in this location. Therefore the site's degree of sensitivity needs to be more accurately described. | area and the need to embrace high quality design principles. Para 6.12 makes it clear that the Wats Dyke, in the vicinity of the site is not a Scheduled Ancient Monument but that it is of local archaeological importance. Nevertheless a minor amendment to the planning context section is considered reasonable. | |
|---|--|--|
| 5.0 Development plan policies and guidance 5.1 A minor typographical error in that both Appendices 1 and 2 should be referred to in the text. | Accepted | In paragraph 5 replace 'These can be found in Appendix 2', with' Regard will be given to national guidance in the form of Planning Policy Wales and Technical Advice Notes (TANs). The relevant development plan is the Flintshire Unitary Development Plan (UDP) which was adopted on 28th September 2011.Other local guidance is in the form of Local Planning Guidance Notes, these are being reviewed with the view of adopting them as Supplementary Planning Guidance in the near future. UDP policies can be found in Appendix 1 and a List of Local Planning Guidance notes can be found in Appendix 2. |
| 5.2 It is taken as read that national planning policy and technical advice also apply as material planning considerations. | Noted | See above |
| 6.0 Local Context Layout and Design .6.2 With regard to the 25m archaeological buffer shown on the Site Context and Analysis Plan it is considered that this is far too restrictive and that there is no reasonable justification for such an extensive area to be excluded from the developable part of the site. In fact it would appear that the emailed consultation response from CPAT dated 22/09/2010 has been misinterpreted and in reality the buffer area should only extend north west of the proposed access to the west of Fairfield. This is due to the fact that Fairfield and Lilac Cottage are already developed and any evidence of Wats Dyke in this part of the | Not accepted. CPAT have confirmed that the buffer zone should be 25m wide and 150m in length and that the buffer zone represents the full width of the original bank and ditch. Although there is existing built development in the form of Fairfield and Lilac Cottage, it is unknown as to what level of importance the archaeological features are in this part of the buffer zone. In earlier correspondence from CPAT it was established that, in connection with the possible provision of a second vehicular access between Lilac Cottage and Fairfield, it would be necessary to | n/a |

| buffer strip in th | ice gone. To continue the is fashion appears to be good reason and therefore it ded. | require a full archaeological investigation to be funded by the developer, as a condition of any grant of planning consent. In these circumstances it would be inappropriate to delete the eastern part of the buffer zone. However, there may be scope, as part of pre-application discussions between the developer, CPAT and local authority to consider whether development could be accommodated on this part of the buffer zone, subject to appropriate archaeological investigations. It would be premature for the brief to provide prescriptive guidance until such time as indicative layouts for the site have been drawn up and the degree of likely disturbance of this part of the buffer zone can be properly assessed. | |
|---|--|---|-----|
| alongside the ex acknowledged. extend into the s considered to be does not provide such a restriction between the lan November 2004 shorter protecte advised. The lin location is in fac from a purely vis has been provide purpose of the p | | The advice given to the authority from CPAT clearly states that the width of the buffer should be 25m which represents the full width of the bank and ditch. | n/a |
| 6.4 The Brief pr how this land is going to be mar | ovides no information on to be treated and how it is haged and maintained in the be put to any productive | The land can form part of the open space requirement perhaps adjacent to the play area but not containing any play equipment. The authority would then be | n/a |

| | | 1 |
|---|--|---|
| use and to have it as a flat, mowed piece | | |
| grassland would give a rather sterile | under the usual conditions applied by the | |
| appearance which would do little to | play unit. | |
| enhance the visual quality or biodiversity of | | |
| the area. 6.5 The landowner would have r | | |
| objection to a lesser buffer strip of no mor | 9 | |
| than 10m provided that this can be proper | ly l | |
| justified. In addition agreement could be | | |
| reached whereby the developer would | | |
| provide for appropriate interpretation withi | n | |
| a suitably landscaped setting and dedicate | | |
| this area to the local community council. | | |
| 6.6 Alternatively this area could form the | | |
| required public open space and play area | | |
| which would not only be a good use of the | | |
| land but would be in an ideal location and | | |
| realise the Brief's aim to 'visually, | | |
| functionally and physically integrate' the | | |
| development into the existing built form of | | |
| the village. | | |
| 6.7 This leads conveniently to the | Partly accepted. The purpose of the | Change annotation on the indicative plan to |
| requirement of the brief that the play area | Development Brief is to provide clear | 'Possible central open space/ play area.' |
| should be centrally located within the site. | advice to potential applicant on the | |
| The play area and open space is but one | requirements, expectations, opportunities | |
| element to be taken into account in the | and constraints of the site. The brief may | |
| design and layout of the site. It should be | suggest solutions but it cannot be totally | |
| considered at the conceptual design stage | | |
| where sustainability, orientation, movement | | |
| where sustainability, orientation, movement within and out of the site and many other | space/ play area might be located. A | |
| issues are considered. It would be | central location would ensure natural | |
| | informal surveillance which is always | |
| premature to be so prescriptive regarding | | |
| the location of the play area at this stage of the design process as this sould projudice | | |
| the design process as this could prejudice | | |
| sustainable design solutions, particularly in | | |
| light of the need to have regard to nationa | | |
| policy and advice on climate change. | adding 'Possible' - to the central open | |
| | space/ play area, notation. | |
| 6.8 Greater clarity is also required regardi | | |
| what can take place within the public asse | | |
| buffer strip. It appears that it would be | nevertheless para 6.10 states that no | |
| acceptable for highway works to be | building will be allowed within 3m of the | |
| accommodated within this area. | public assets or sewers which are within | |

| | | 1 |
|---|---|-----|
| | the site. It is for the developer to resolve the implications of these constraints with | |
| | Welsh Water Dwr Cymru as part of | |
| | producing a draft layout. | |
| 7.0 Density 7.1 The density guidance in the brief | A density of 30 per ha has been applied to this site and taking into account the | n/a |
| represents an increase of 11% in the growth | open space, the archaeological buffer and | |
| of the settlement which is a category B with | the other constraints, and having regard | |
| a recommended band width of between 8% | to policy HSG8 represents a realistic | |
| and 15%. If necessary the density could be | number for this site. Any alternative | |
| increased to meet any additional need. | density figure for the site is a matter for the developer to justify as part of a Design | |
| | and Access Statement or Planning | |
| | Statement. The level of growth for the | |
| | settlement is therefore not considered | |
| | relevant in the determination of an appropriate density for the site. | |
| 8.0 Sustainable Development | Noted | n/a |
| 8.1 This is a significantly important | | |
| consideration and the design of the | | |
| development will be led by the principles as set out in Welsh Government policy and | | |
| technical advice. | | |
| 12.0 Parking | Both national planning guidance and UDP | n/a |
| 12.1 It is considered that insistence on the | policy AC18 applies parking standards as | |
| maximum parking standards as set out is not appropriate in what is essentially a rural | a maximum. Sychdyn is not a remote rural area being part of a cluster of | |
| area with relatively limited public transport | dwellings near Mold and being close to a | |
| options. It is also clear that current lifestyles | wide range of facilities services and | |
| are such that young adults are staying | employment . In terms of seeking to | |
| within the family home at a later age thus | ensure the most sustainable form of | |
| giving rise to a greater off road parking need. | development for the site it would be inappropriate to specify a higher level of | |
| | parking provision. | |
| 13.0 Services Infrastructure | Any development proposal will be | n/a |
| 13.1 Greater clarity is required regarding | considered under policies GEN1(h) and | |
| any implications for the development of any lack of capacity in the existing Waste Water | EWP16 Water Resources and as such consultations will be carried out with | |
| Treatment Works up until March 2015. | Welsh Water, preferably at the pre | |
| | application stage as part of designing a | |
| | scheme. In a recent appeal decision | |
| | relating to St Mary's Park, Mold the | |

| | inspector stated 'It [Welsh Water] sought to prohibit the commencement of development until improvement works had been undertaken to its waste water treatment works. There is no evidence before me to indicate that the nature of any problems that could be caused by the additional loading imposed by the development. In the circumstances and bearing in mind this housing allocation has been identified in the UDP for several years, this matter does not warrant delaying the commencement of development.' | |
|---|--|-----|
| 14.0 Affordable housing 14.1 The views of the Council's Housing Strategy Manager are noted and the provision of the affordable housing element of 2 bed bungalows at market value to meet the local need is the preferred option of the developer subject to agreement on the numbers required. | Noted | n/a |
| 15.0 Archaeological Issues 15.1 Comments have been made earlier in this response to archaeological issues and it is considered that there is no justification for either a 25m depth of buffer neither should it extend to the land occupied by Fairfield and Lilac Cottage. Concern is also expressed regarding the preferred landscape treatment as expressed in the brief. There is no guidance on the mechanism as to how, and by whom, this is to be maintained in the future. | See previous comments. | n/a |
| 18.0 Education Contributions 18.1 The primary school capacity position is noted. 18.2 With regard to a contribution to secondary school contribution it is considered that this is premature pending resolution of the future of Argoed High School. Furthermore clarification is required | Negotiations in relation to the contributions to education will use the most up to date information available at the time of the planning application. At the present time no CIL process is in operation and it is unlikely to be up and running until after 2014. | n/a |

| - | | | |
|-------------|--|--|---|
| | as to the Council's position regarding the | | |
| | Community Infrastructure Levy and any | | |
| | charging regime that may be introduced | | |
| | prior to April 2014. If a permission on the | | |
| | site is not granted until after a charging | | |
| | scheme has been approved then a S106 | | |
| | contribution may not be appropriate. | | |
| | 20.0 Other Matters | This brief has been drawn up to highlight | n/a |
| | 20.1 The remaining important area of | the issues in relation to the development | |
| | concern which is not addressed in the Brief | of the site. The details land ownership | |
| | is in regard to land ownership and the fact | constraints are matters for the owners | |
| | that, particularly if the buffer requirement to | and developer to discuss and resolve | |
| | the south east of the proposed access off | when a scheme is drawn up. Since the | |
| | Ffordd Eldon is removed, all of the areas of | site is relatively small there seems little | |
| | constraint are contained within the land | justification for phasing the development. | |
| | owned by Mr Williams. This represents an | , | |
| | onerous restriction whereas other land | | |
| | owners will be able to develop their land | | |
| | with few constraints. | | |
| | 20.2 The Brief should recognise this and the | | |
| | Council is requested to be open to | | |
| | discussing a mechanism whereby a more | | |
| | equitable arrangement could be agreed. | | |
| | This could be achieved partly by a phasing | | |
| | arrangement and S106 Agreement. | | |
| Mr Howard | Vownog devt Brief Sychdyn: | Partly Accepted. Add reference to the | Change 6th sentence in paragraph 2. Site |
| White. | | footpath being part of the Wats Dyke Way | Description to read:- |
| Ramblers | As far as I am aware the Ramblers Cymru | Heritage Trail in the text and on the Site | Description to read |
| Association | was NOT consulted on this Brief. If they had | Context and Analysis plan. | A public right of way runs along the southern |
| Cymru | been, these comments might have come | Context and Analysis plan. | edge of the site which forms part of the Wats |
| Cynnu | | | |
| | sooner. Perhaps this type of Brief can be included in a consultation list with RA in | With regards to consultation, we have | Dyke Way Heritage Trail. |
| | future? | sought to consult on those issues relevant | And shanne 1 st contained in the O nd neverses h of |
| | Tuture ? | to the site and in this case the footpath | And change 1 st sentence in the 2 nd paragraph of |
| | Although the public fosts at the superior of alth | does not actually cross the site but skirts | 6.8 Highways access to read:- |
| | Although the public footpath to west of site | round the site. Also since the | A multiple for start (Example No. 00, 11) (|
| | is referred to in the text it is not shown on | archaeological buffer is present it was felt | A public footpath (Footpath No 33 which forms |
| | the Site Analysis map as a constraint. | that the footpath would not be | part of the Wats Dyke Way Heritage Trail) runs |
| | | detrimentally affected by development. | along the south west boundary of the site and it |
| | This path is now the "Wats Dyke Way" a | The Ramblers Association will only be | will be necessary to protect this footpath within |
| | promoted regional path which is to be | directly consulted when a development | any development. |
| | shown as such on future editions of | brief is being drafted, if there is a specific | |
| | Ordnance survey maps. | footpath issue in relation to the particular | |

| | The archaeological buffer zone/grassed area should achieve the protection of the path and its surrounds which was a comment I made at time of UDP. I welcome the ref in Brief to the need to incorporate walker/cycle - friendly routes through devt. Although perhaps beyond the scope of this brief, if a public path route could be created to east of site (eg up pumping station access track) this would enhance access to countryside and the Flintshire Rural Walk near Sychdyn Hall. | site. With regards the other issue in your email, obviously new footpaths within the site and possible links through the site will be considered at a planning application stage and it is beyond the scope of this brief to prescribe a route at this stage. It is likely the Ramblers Association will be consulted as part of a planning application on the site when more details will be available. | |
|---|---|--|-----|
| Huw Evans Planning on behalf of the landowner Mr D.Williams | The point made in para 3.1 – implementation of development plan proposals rely upon the viability and acceptability of the development proposals. | Noted. | N/a |
| | Serious reservations remain with regard to the extent of the 25m archaeological buffer. Insufficient justification as Wats Dyke is not a Scheduled Ancient Monument and there is no visual evidence of its existence in this location. The brief sterilizes brownfield land within the settlement boundary and the requirements of the brief are therefore contrary to the UDP and PPW. If the site is mowed grass area it will be visually and ecologically dull adding little to the landscape and visual quality of the area. | Wats Dyke is a locally important ancient monument and this should be taken into consideration in the development of the site. Only part of the site, the north west corner where the sewage works was located was ever considered as brownfield land, so to refer to the archaeological buffer as brownfield is incorrect. The land can form part of the open space requirement perhaps adjacent to the play area but not containing any play equipment. | N/a |
| | Reservations still remain regarding the prescriptive nature of the open space guidance. | The brief hi lights the requirements for open space which is the same for all housing developments and the plan in the brief is indicative only. | N/a |